

CIRCUIT RIDER'S WIFE CONTINUES HER NARRATIVE

MY SON. By Corra Harris. George H. Doran Company.

Reviewed by GEORGE KENT.

SEVERAL years ago Corra Harris wrote a book entitled "A Circuit Rider's Wife," the story of the struggles of an austere Methodist preacher and his wife. The preacher, William by name, was the fourth minister of his line. "He was born with a prayer on his lips," he believed with a fiery zeal and he brooked no compromise with his convictions. He remained until his death an obscure circuit rider because he would not pander to the prejudices of his congregation nor stoop to stroke its influential members with a soft hand.

In the present volume the story of the circuit rider's son is told. Here the mother confesses that throughout her years of struggle by the side of her husband she has longed for freedom, for escape from the church's confining influence.

"When you have lived for so many years in parsonages furnished by committees you do crave the privilege of having your own things and rubbing them and looking at them and possessing them. The hard, wooden bottomed chairs that prevail in parsonages are durable, but they get to be hard on the very soul of you from having to sit on them so much."

"How many times had I been tempted to speak the truth to stewards in our church or at the women's missionary meeting that would have skinned somebody alive for meanness when I had to say something meek and forbearing for William's sake! What a relief it would have been to tear around sometimes regardless of my soul's salvation. But I never did. I was waiting for Peter to grow up, win a place in the world and open the door of this prison for me."

It is irony that Peter should choose also to enter the ministry, the fifth of his line in direct succession—Peter who was a cheerful, practical, modern young man, who made his decision without trepidation and with an eye already fixed on the "best appointments."

"Now, here he was about to close the door in my face forever. You do not know how much you desire something until you are about to lose it. I suffered."

She did indeed. She reflects bitterly that for woman there is no independence. "You may be a home body, but you must travel. You may want to see the world, but you must remain at home and let him be your world. I do not seem to make it clear; but if you have streaked it this way and that after one man half a lifetime and then suddenly, when you were settling down in your own mind and spirit, if you are obliged to get up, turn around and follow another one up and down you know what I mean."

Peter enters the ministry, and, although an honest and straightforward gentleman, he contrives to make himself agreeable to his congregation and preaches only such things as will please them. The result is tremendous popularity and none of the jar-

ring discords that William's inexorable pursuit and attack of the wicked brought down upon them. "I should have been proud of him and satisfied," she writes, "since for so many years I had craved easement from the sterner doctrines of salvation. But I was not proud of him. I was terribly uneasy about him and his water and sand gospel."

Her son mounts upward, despite her concern, and goes each year to a better church and is finally made preacher in the most important church in the jurisdiction of the Conference. The old woman clings to the church's past glory and sees in Peter's philosophical sermons a retrogression. She confesses that she is old fashioned and talkative, and we who read are inclined to nod and agree. Nevertheless, she gives utterance to many just criticisms of the modern church, and some splendid interpretations and characterizations of the people in the pews. She stands where the church to-day stands and her quandary is the church's quandary. She stands between her husband, the ascetic, forever wrestling with his soul, and her son, the glib pulpit entertainer. Of the latter type she says: "Their hearts do not burn, their lips have not been touched with holy fire. They lack some awful quality of spirit which the old preachers had and which they have not." Her loyalty is with the old, and with her aid the son returns to the way of his father.

She has many opinions and she expresses them. They range over the field of politics, the press, the war, opinions strained through the cloth of goodness, which, despite the fact that she is a sweet old woman with the courage to sit beside the bed of a dying harlot, is a severe blue law type of goodness. The expression of these opinions, together with her broodings over her son, sands the tracks of the narrative and for a time it drags. In the end Peter finds his soul, wrestles with it, emerges victor and almost immediately afterward falls in love with a girl with a "verse of goodness" face.

The beginning of the story promises beautifully, but, like many precocious things, it disappoints. The middle stage things, it is forced, it is to be regretted that Mrs. Harris abandoned the personal element as set forth in the early portion of the book for the business of propaganda. There she deals sympathetically and simply with the situation in which the old mother, tired of the rigors of a circuit rider's life, longs to escape. Her acceptance of her fate and her solicitude for her son, who is more wrapped up in pleasing than in saving his congregation, is also well wrought. We are, however, inclined to doubt that the old woman would have accepted her son's choice of the ministry without a peep of protest, or at least an attempt at discussion or discussion. The explanation is sufficiently convincing for the purposes of the story. Once Peter is under way in the ministry the propaganda commences, and the remainder of the book might have been written by an interested religious publicity department.

But he cannot escape himself

THE JOURNAL OF HENRY BULVER.

By C. Veheyne. G. P. Putnam's Sons.

Reviewed by H. L. PANGBORN.

THIS story won the \$500 prize in the British "Collins novel contest" of last year, wherein the judges were Sir Arthur Quiller-Couch, Mrs. Belloc-Lowndes and J. D. Beresford. And in this case one has no quarrel with the judgment.

The book is extraordinary both in conception and in execution. It is thoroughly alive, not at all built to any conventional pattern, and is artistic throughout, though it is something of a *tour de force* and occasionally rather high in key. But its intensity is real; it is genuine emotion even if the personality of the hero is somewhat askew. As to that, he ends by killing himself in fear of approaching insanity, and much of his action is scarcely to be classed as sane. Yet there is nothing that is not plausible in him, nor is he too much of a pathological specimen to be interestingly human.

In form it is the autobiography of a genius—actor, playwright, journalist, essayist and theatrical manager. He begins his career by running away from his father's drapery shop to a vagrant year as a travelling actor; returns to the shop for some time and is about to marry in order to secure independence, but shies off and runs away on his wedding day. He becomes private secretary to an elderly peer and then elopes with his employer's wife, not because he wants to but because she has fallen in love with him and he can't bear to refuse her. Naturally they do not get on well. But his first play is a great success, the burdensome lady leaves him, and he is fairly launched. After sundry minor adventures he falls violently in love with a girl who is engaged to another man, whom he successfully "cuts out" in spite of the girl's fear of him and her feeling that it is dangerous to love him too much. Her fears are justified, as he goes gallivanting after a showy actress, but he is forgiven and taken back, though he remains dubiously faithful. His wife is drowned, with a suspicion of suicide; his young daughter dies because of his failure to take intelligent care of her, despite his absorbing love for her, and he goes off again with the actress.

Their ship is wrecked in midocean (like the Titanic) and he is supposed to be drowned, but is actually saved. He conceals his identity long enough—long enough for a flamboyant biography of him to appear—and then reappears in London, for a meteoric finish, ending in his suicide at the apex of his spectacular success as a dra-

matist. Such an outline sounds melodramatic, but the reality of the book is not so. To handle such material without extravagance requires fine artistic ability.

One trouble with any such presentation of the abnormal genius is that the reader must accept the superlative quality of his work as a primary postulate and an offset to his eccentricity. But Miss Veheyne succeeds very well in creating that impression; one accepts Bulver as all she claims. Sometimes one believes in him just because he is incredible—but one does believe.

Among the minor characters are some fittingly realistic portraits, drawn, one feels sure, from the living model—for instance, the vicious, spiteful, shrewdly wicked and malicious, a vegetarian and mountebank, whose first name remains Bernard. The women of the book are exceedingly well done—vivid types. And whatever one may think of the hero he is unquestionably very much alive in Miss Veheyne's portrayal.

Her mother was too good looking

BLIND MICE. By C. Kay Scott. George H. Doran Company.

THE mother-in-law joke usually

assumes maternal interference

in a family through affection

for the married son or daughter

and criticism of the other's spouse.

Mr. Scott has reversed this formula

and shown a woman so vain and

pretty that she breaks up a happy

home by turning her son-in-law

against the daughter. Mrs. Merwent

was the spoiled child of a Southern

family. Her irritability and peevishness

caused her husband to leave

her, and when her daughter married

John Winter her criticism was very

bitter. Therefore her announced visit

was dreaded by both. When she

entered the household she at once began

to flatter and pet John until he felt

that his wife had been unjust to her

own mother. She broke up the friendship

John had for Jim Sprague and

REVIEWS OF NEW FICTION



Corra Harris.

The old tragedy of the square peg

THE TRAGIC BRIDE. By Francis

Brett Young. E. P. Dutton & Co.

ALTHOUGH a vein of tragedy

runs through this novel the

title is a little misleading. For

the story does not deal primarily

with the tragedy of great emotions leading

to an overwhelming catastrophe;

rather it is the tragedy of slow decay,

the tragedy of maladaptation, of a

square peg in a round hole and of the

resulting friction. In the beginning

we have an atmosphere of rustic

beauty and simplicity as the scene of

a glamorous love affair; then with

jolting suddenness occurs the one un-

questionable tragedy of the book—a

tragedy of accident rather than the

more dramatic tragedy of character—

the lovers are striding through the

hills; the man stumbles and the gun

he is carrying is discharged and blows

out his brains.

This hideous conclusion to a picture-

esque romance constitutes the begin-

ning rather than the end of the story.

The girl, Gabrielle Hewish, is stunned

by the blow; she is forced, under cir-

cumstances which are not entirely

convincing, to marry a man many

years her senior; and the rest of the

book is devoted to the difficulties arising

from the incompatibility of temper-

aments. In most respects these

difficulties are rather shadowy, indicated

rather than described; in only one

regard does the author dwell on

them in detail. That is in relation to

Arthur Payne, a boy who comes to

Gabrielle's husband as a student and

who ultimately falls in love with the

wife. The love is returned, although

the husband does not seem even to

suspect it, but it is discovered by the

boy's mother, who sets a trap for the

pair and definitely succeeds in separ-

ating them. The last we hear of Gabri-

elle is that she has been exonerated

by a jury for the death of her husband;

who was killed by a mysterious but

presumably accidental shot when out

hunting.

The ending of the book is rather

disappointing. It is too indefinite, too

noncommittal. It is as if the author

was content merely to outline what it

was his duty to portray in detail. He

concludes the book with the abrupt-

ness with which one might end a

played in the matter to believe that the truth goes considerably deeper. The various persons who make up the family which gives this book its title are Americans, sure enough, but rooted in the soil as they are it is strange to find how little essence of it clings to them. What is the difficulty?

The story proceeds along simple, realistic lines, and so far so good. Its personnel is easily recognizable from old farmer Dixon, shrewd buyer, restorer and seller (at a profit) of abandoned farms, down to his great-grandson, who becomes after his war experience "over there" a student of socialism, yet true as the individuals are to type they arouse but a languid interest. Perhaps the trouble lies in the author herself, who seems unable to do more than scratch the soil. Surely Americanism is not a trait antagonistic to great ideals, to passion and enthusiasm! These qualities are absent, and so is that admittedly national trait, our humor.

With ample flecks of color to choose from the author has used too much white in her brush with a resulting tameness. If she had thrown her brush recklessly now and then into the red and yellow and green her style of story telling might have suffered, but her story would have pleased more readers. Without the sharp burin of Jane Austen to scratch up a high light here and there on a pallid page no novelist of her genre can hope to equal the success of that ancient spinster.

Were t'other dear charmer away

THE KNIGHT OF LONELY LAND.

By Evelyn Campbell. Little, Brown & Co.

IN many respects this is the typical

Western story. The scene of

course is a region of mountains

and primitive desolation; the hero is a

man of the "rough and ready" type,

unpolished externally, but actually the

very soul of chivalry; the situations

have to do with riding, with "ruling"

cattle, with shooting, with adventure,

and with narrow escapes from death;

and as if this were not sufficient, we

occasionally get an increased thrill by

a glimpse of real blood.

But, as one might know without

even being told, the terror and adven-

ture are subservient to the love theme.

In some respects the love

story is built along conventional lines,

and in some respects it is unique; we

have something like the old "triangle,"

the respective points of which are oc-

cupied by a man and two women; but

the ultimate solution of the situation

is anything but what we might have

expected. One of the women is a re-

cent arrival from England, who falsely

believes the hero to be in the employ

of her uncle, and unceremoniously

throws herself upon his hospitality;

the other, nicknamed "Britches," is a

native of the West, an adept in the

art of the gun and of the saddle.

Through most of the book the man's

inclinations lean toward the English-

Americans do not agonize

ZELL. By Henry G. Alkman. Alfred

A. Knopf.

Reviewed by

ELIZABETH HOUGHTON.

THE old question, "What is Americanism?" would seem to be answered by the modern novelist than by the National Security League or the "Intelligent weeklies." Sectional and class specialists such as Mary E. Wilkins Freeman for New England, or for the country club layer of society, F. Scott Fitzgerald, may light up their own corners of it. They are perhaps not so near the centre of the controversy as Sherwood Anderson, Floyd Dell or that topographical artist Mr. Sinclair Lewis, since in the middle West the fusion of peoples makes for a more general approach to literature. Henry G. Alkman in "Zell" is warmest of all in the search.

"Zell" strikes first as a good story put together by a faithful craftsman. It is not a nervous book. In the scene where Agatha and the children visit the faithless husband there is a climax and restraint; very nearly all of the situations chosen have dramatic value. Even stronger rings out the sureness of tone—a tone which might be called the un-intensity with which the American goes to meet life experiences, excepting only the much advertised stock exchange game.

As a rule the American business man who finds that his wife prefers an artist hesitates—be it vanity or fear that prevents action. He dreads sympathy as much as the garishness of public print. He keeps silence. The excess in feeling of the Slav is foreign to us because we have not that blind mind which for the Slav reflects clearly all his emotional tremors. With us analysis, of the mental sort, goes

but to a certain point. Thus Anderson and Dell, by the very keenness of their inward eye, miss that shade of universality which in great part we learn to look for, and which in "Zell" we find.

For instance, more poignant than the main struggle of the citizen and artist in Avery Zell is the brother and sister relation of Avery and Winifred. It grows, through self-sacrifice, from the miserable friction of early years into a calm bond of affection. Significant also, for this point of view, that instinctive father love which keeps Avery from breaking up his life to follow art. A letter from Inez, the girl who would have shared his musical career, elicits a whispered "Damn." Then, says the book, "Avery abruptly folded the letter and stuffed it into his pocket." This is quite all we know of his thoughts until, at the opera, hearing Inez sing "Concualtate le pays" from Mignon he wonders: "Inez Copeland has found complete self-expression. And how would it be with me if I had gone?"

This is for him a moment of awareness; he is "on the threshold of one of those rare intervals when one really sees oneself." Yet in Avery, the American citizen, there is no despair. "If some one could only have done for me what I shall do for my son," he thought. "Self-expression is the deepest of human necessities, yet no one shows us how to achieve it. Either we never find it at all or else we stumble upon it—after years of wastage—too late. . . Still he felt strangely at peace. He would never have to worry about money again. . . and he had found himself somewhat in his work."

Thus, it is characteristic of us Americans that we realize, without emotional agony, our situation.

Irrigation makes romance blossom

THE DESERT FIDDLER. By William

H. Hamby. Doubleday, Page & Co.

Reviewed by MARTHA McCULLOCH-WILLIAMS.

THE Desert Fiddler has a trace of novelty notwithstanding it lives up to the Hamby hallmark by being of the West. Western. Its scene is the wonderful Imperial Valley just where the international boundary separates Arizona and Mexico. Irrigation makes the Imperial outlive the Nile Valley. Also and further, cotton culture makes it outlive the richest bonanza. The Yazoo Delta even cannot stand beside it in yield, provided, of course, it gets water enough, and at the right time.

In this proviso lies a gamble of human interests almost as hazardous as the gamble of the seasons or the floods. Irrigation means water companies; individual effort is hopeless. Whoso controls water holds the cotton planters in the hollow of his hand. If additionally the holder is leagued with capitalists who have made advances to tenant growers, the plot thickens without the least trouble to its recorder in romance.

Bob Rogen, the desert fiddler, learns all this by heart, by purse, by danger of death, at the end of incredible hardships. Cotton profits seduce him into quitting his job as motor salesman to take over a ranch, at a great bargain to be sure, but with the disadvantage of making the venture upon borrowed money. Follow wonderful pictures of life and work, and human nature—a kaleidoscope indeed of humanity in the raw. There is a girl, of course—what book can survive going without one, even if it is signed by a Conrad or a Stevenson? She is the adventurous daughter of a mild college professor, whom she has induced to exchange genteel starvation, spiritual and physical, in a fresh water college town for the alluring freedom of this strange new West. She is coveted, the paternal ranch likewise, by Reedy Jenkins, the villain of the tale, who, failing to win her, plots to ruin her and the poor young rival, whose success is grit in his teeth.

If Jenkins is unscrupulous and leagued with craftier Mexican officials, Rogen has wit and pluck, and friends in need and deed. To tell categorically the tale of this struggle would be unfair alike to writer and prospective readers. Bob gets the girl—that goes without saying. And Jenkins gets his come-uppance—in a manner neat, humorous and splendidly efficient. The only character left with a reasonable grievance is the fiddler. Notwithstanding it stands godfather, as it were, to the volume, it is hardly even second fiddle throughout the telling. Which is manifestly unfair. Let us hope that the luckless instrument takes its revenge by soundings dirgelike when it should be gay.

Eyes have they but they see not

THE CURTAIN. By Alexander Mac-

farlan. Dodd, Mead & Co.

LINDNESS, we take it, is the

"curtain" of Alexander Mac-

farlan's novel of exotic, pas-

sionate life on the island of Corsica

that he sets down in a staccato style

which is not without a certain amount

of force. His tale concerns a maimed

unduly sensitive and fairly worthless

British Consul; a British spinster who

acts as the Consul's secretary for lit-

tle money and a great love; and one

Mabel Cain, one of the immortal type

of women whom it has become the fashion to call unmoral; a novelist acting as chorus and character in the concerned quartet.

Mabel Cain is a blind violinist in a

Shorty McCabe's Americanisms

MEET 'EM WITH SHORTY MCCABE.

By Sewell Ford. Edward J. Clode.

MR. FORD has established a re-

putation as a humorist. His

writings abound with that

flippant give and take which is styled

"American humor." This hero, Shorty

McCabe, might well do a turn in

vaudeville. He appeals to our

democratic desire to see the rough-

put one over on the highbrow. The